AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MJWD (Rev. 10/09) Case 1:14-cr-00132-PLM ECF No. 11 filed 07/23/14 PageID.23 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Jose Carlos Suazo-Cruz	Casa Na 4:44 at 00420 DLM
	Defendant Defendant	Case No. 1:14-cr-00132-PLM
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convict U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the dor local offense.	lefendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Finding	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com-	• •
( /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established b will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
<b>√</b> (1)	Alternative Finding There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	ety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	ons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
2. Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be related that may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	eased in any case.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	July 23, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	